## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

This is in response to the notice of non-compliant amendment mailed April 11, 2007.

It is noted that at the bottom of page 2 and top of page 3 in the office action mailed December 8, 2006, the Examiner objected to claim 58 as lacking indentation. In the response to that final rejection the Applicant added indentations and therefore the applicant indicated claim 58 as "currently amended".

If the Patent Office does not consider such a change an amendment to the claim, please enter this response indicating claim 58 as "previously presented"; on the other hand, if the Patent Office considers the introduction of the indentation as an amendment to claim 58 please use the claims in the previously submitted response to the final rejection filed February 27, 2007 indicating claim 58 as "currently amended".

In the event any additional fee is required, please charge such amount to Patent and Trademark Office Deposit Account No. 50-3192.

April 16, 2007

Date

/richard sharkansky/
Richard M. Sharkansky Reg. No.25,800
Attorney for Applicant(s)
P. O. Box 557
Mashpee, MA 02649